



Introduction of the novel IML Method MID to Maximize Interpretability of Black-Box Models

Yoshihiro Matsumori
SOMPO Risk Management Inc.
March 24, 2026
Convention A

- We introduce **MID**, a novel method for making black-box models interpretable, developed by Hirokazu Iwasawa.
- MID is a **global, model-agnostic and pragmatic** interpretation method.
- MID provides interpretability through a **decomposition of the prediction function** into main-effect terms and interaction-effect terms.
- We introduce the **Uninterpreted Ratio (UR)**. MID is defined as the method that **minimizes UR**.
- MID is readily available in practice, supported by a **well-developed R package** and related tools.

- Actuaries would like to use advanced machine learning methods with **high predictive accuracy** in practice.
- However, advanced machine learning models often become **black boxes**, making interpretability a key challenge.
- It is not desirable to rely on structurally simple but less accurate models solely for the sake of interpretability.
- By applying IML methods that make highly accurate black-box models **interpretable**, we aim to enable the **practical use** of advanced machine learning techniques in actuarial work.

- In the Interpretable Machine learning (IML) field, there are two distinctions:
 - **local vs global** and **model-specific vs model-agnostic**
- Local methods provide interpretations of predictions for individual instances.
- **Global** interpretation addresses the **overall behavioral structure** of prediction functions.
- **Model-agnostic** methods interpret predictive functions **using only input-output relationships** over the space of possible inputs.
- Representative examples include Partial Dependence (**PD**) and Accumulated Local Effects (**ALE**).

- “Interpretation should depend only on the **observable** behavior of the predictive function”
- This is a natural requirement for global model-agnostic interpretations.
- Two prediction functions f_A and f_B are **black-box equivalent**, denoted $f_A \equiv f_B$, if $P(f_A = f_B) = 1$.
- A decomposition method is **pragmatic** if
$$f_A \equiv f_B \Rightarrow \text{Decomposition of } f_A = \text{Decomposition of } f_B.$$

- Feature variables: x_1, \dots, x_d denoted by capital letters when treated as r.v.
- $D := \{1, \dots, d\}$
- For non-empty $J \subseteq D$, $\mathbf{x}_J := \{x_j \mid j \in J\}$
- For $J \subseteq D$, $\setminus J := D \setminus J$
- $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a given prediction function to be interpreted

- Functional decomposition:

$$f(\mathbf{x}_D) = \sum_{J \subseteq D} f_J(\mathbf{x}_J) = f_\emptyset + \sum_{j \in D} f_j(x_j) + \sum_{\{j,l\} \subseteq D} f_{\{j,l\}}(x_j, x_l) + \dots + f_D(\mathbf{x}_D)$$

- The 1-dim PD can be viewed as a functional decomposition method whose 1st order terms are defined as:

$$f_{\emptyset} + f_j(x_j) = \text{PD}_j(x_j) := \mathbb{E}[f(x_j, \mathbf{X}_{\setminus j})]$$

- The computational cost is extremely high.
- Performs poorly when the features are strongly correlated.
- Not pragmatic.

- Also, the 1-dim ALE can be viewed as a functional decomposition method whose 1st order terms are defined as:

$$f_j(x_j) := \int_{x_{\min,j}}^{x_j} \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \middle| X_j = z_j \right] dz_j - c_j,$$

where c_j is defined so as to satisfy $\mathbb{E}[f_j(X_j)] = 0$.

- The meaning of “accumulation” is not intuitive.
- Not pragmatic.

- **Uninterpreted Ratio (UR) of order k** is defined as follows:

$$\text{UR}_k := \frac{\mathbb{E} \left[\left(f(\mathbf{X}_D) - f_\emptyset - \sum_{|J| \leq k} f_J(\mathbf{X}_J) \right)^2 \right]}{\mathbb{E} \left[\left(f(\mathbf{X}_D) - f_\emptyset \right)^2 \right]}.$$

- The k th-order MID is defined as the functional decomposition

$$f(\mathbf{x}_D) = \sum_{|J| \leq k} f_J(\mathbf{x}_J) + f_D(\mathbf{x}_D)$$

that minimizes UR_k .

- Computationally efficient.
- **Pragmatic.**



Thank you for your attention.

We welcome feedback and hope many of you will try MID!