





#### Multiple Yield Curve Modeling and Forecasting using Deep Learning

#### RONALD RICHMAN SALVATORE SCOGNAMIGLIO

▲日▼▲□▼▲田▼▲田▼ 田 ろん⊙

#### **INTRODUCTION**

- Yield curves are used in actuarial science and finance for deriving the present value of future cashflows;
- Several approaches have been proposed to model the uncertain future evolution of the yield curves;
- Globalisation has intensified the financial markets' connection, inducing a complex dependence structure among different yield curves;
- Deep learning has been successfully applied to several tasks in the actuarial domain.

AIM: To develop deep learning models for accurate modelling and forecasting multiple yield curves.



#### YIELD CURVES MOD-ELLING: A STATIC APPROACH

Let  $y(\tau)$  be the continuously-compounded zero-coupon nominal yield of a  $\tau$ -month bond,Nelson and Siegel (1987) assume that:

$$y(\tau) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\lambda \tau}}{\lambda \tau}\right) + \beta_2 \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\lambda \tau}}{\lambda \tau} - e^{-\lambda \tau}\right) + \epsilon_{\tau}$$

where  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  are model parameters.

Given a market data sample  $(\dot{y}(\tau))_{\tau \in \mathcal{M}}$ , the parameters are estimated by fixing the decay factor  $\tau$ , and by solving:

$$\arg\min_{\beta_0,\beta_1,\beta_2} \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{M}} \left( \dot{y}(\tau) - \beta_0 - \beta_1 \left( \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda \tau}}{\lambda \tau} \right) - \beta_2 \left( \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda \tau}}{\lambda \tau} - e^{-\lambda \tau} \right) \right)^2.$$



#### YIELD CURVES MODELLING: A DYNAMIC APROACH

Let  $\mathcal{T} = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\}$  be a set of dates. Diebold and Li (2006) introduces the dynamic version of the NS model:

$$y_t(\tau) = \beta_{0,t} + \beta_{1,t} \left( \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda \tau}}{\lambda \tau} \right) + \beta_{2,t} \left( \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda \tau}}{\lambda \tau} - e^{-\lambda \tau} \right) + \epsilon_{\tau,t},$$

where the parameters  $\beta_{0,t}, \beta_{1,t}, \beta_{2,t}$  change over time.

They are estimated at each date t, by solving the sequence of optimisation problems:

 $\arg\min_{\beta_{0,t},\beta_{1,t},\beta_{2,t}}\sum_{\tau\in\mathcal{M}}\left(\dot{y}(\tau)-\beta_{0,t}-\beta_{1,t}\left(\frac{1-e^{-\lambda_{t}\tau}}{\lambda_{t}\tau}\right)-\beta_{2}\left(\frac{1-e^{-\lambda_{t}\tau}}{\lambda_{t}\tau}-e^{-\lambda\tau}\right)\right)^{2}\forall t\in\mathcal{T}.$ 



#### YIELD CURVES MODELLING: A DY-NAMIC MULTI-CURVE APROACH

Let  $\mathcal{I} = \{ \text{curve}_1, \text{curve}_2, \dots, \text{curve}_l \}$  be a set of different yield curves. The Diebold and Li model in the multi-curve case reads:

$$\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{t}}^{(i)}(\tau) = \beta_{0,\mathbf{t}}^{(i)} + \beta_{1,\mathbf{t}}^{(i)} \left(\frac{1 - \mathbf{e}^{-\lambda\tau}}{\lambda\tau}\right) + \beta_{2,\mathbf{t}}^{(i)} \left(\frac{1 - \mathbf{e}^{-\lambda\tau}}{\lambda\tau} - \mathbf{e}^{-\lambda\tau}\right) + \epsilon_{\tau,\mathbf{t}}^{(i)},$$

where  $\beta_{0,t}^{(i)},\beta_{1,t}^{(i)},\beta_{2,t}^{(i)}$  are curve-specific parameters. They are estimated by optimising:

 $\underset{\beta_{0,t}^{(i)},\beta_{1,t}^{(i)},\beta_{2,t}^{(i)}}{\arg\min} \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{M}} \left( \dot{y}(\tau) - \beta_{0,t}^{(i)} - \beta_{1,t}^{(i)} \Big( \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda \tau}}{\lambda \tau} \Big) - \beta_{2,t}^{(i)} \Big( \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda \tau}}{\lambda \tau} - e^{-\lambda \tau} \Big) \right)^2,$ 

that have to be solved for each  $t \in \mathcal{T}, i \in \mathcal{I}$ .



#### YIELD CURVES FORECASTING

Forecasts are obtained by specifying a dynamic model for the time-series  $(\hat{\beta}_{j,t}^{(i)})_{t\in\mathcal{T}}, j=0,1,2, i\in\mathcal{I}.$  The two most popular choices are:

• Independent AR(1) models:

$$\beta_{j,t}^{(i)} = \psi_{0,j} + \psi_{1,j}^{(i)}\beta_{j,t-1}^{(i)} + \epsilon_{j,t}^{(i)},$$

where  $\psi_{0,j}^{(i)}, \psi_{1,j}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}, i \in \mathcal{I}, j = 0, 1, 2 \text{ and } \epsilon_{j,t}^{(i)} \sim \textit{N}(0, (\sigma_j^{(i)})^2).$ 

• A Multivariate VAR(1) models for  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_t^{(i)} = (\beta_{0,t}^{(i)}, \beta_{1,t}^{(i)}, \beta_{2,t}^{(i)}) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ :

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{t}^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{a}_{0}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{A}^{(i)}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{t-1}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\eta}_{t}^{(i)},$$

with  $\mathbf{a}_0^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $A^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ , and  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_t^{(i)} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \boldsymbol{E}^{(i)})$  is the normal distributed error term with covariance matrix  $\boldsymbol{E}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ .



## YIELD CURVES MODELLING: THE NELSON-SIEGEL-SVENSSON MODEL

Svensoon (1994) also introduced a four-factor extension of the NS model that, in a dynamic framework, can be formalised as:

$$\begin{split} y_{t}^{(i)}(\tau) &= \beta_{0,t}^{(i)} + \beta_{1,t}^{(i)} \Big( \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda_{1}\tau}}{\lambda_{1}\tau} \Big) + \beta_{2,t}^{(i)} \Big( \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda_{1}\tau}}{\lambda_{1}\tau} - e^{-\lambda_{1}\tau} \Big) \\ &+ \beta_{3,t}^{(i)} \Big( \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda_{2}\tau}}{\lambda_{2}\tau} - e^{-\lambda_{2}\tau} \Big) + \epsilon_{\tau,t}^{(i)}, \end{split}$$

where  $\beta_{3,t}^{(i)}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . the parameters  $\beta_{0,t}^{(i)}, \beta_{1,t}^{(i)}, \beta_{2,t}^{(i)}, \beta_{3,t}^{(i)}$  are estimated via OLS estimator for fixed values for  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ .





#### **NEURAL NETWORKS**

Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{q_0}$  be the vector of features, a fully connected (FC) layer of size  $q_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  is a function

$$\boldsymbol{z}: \mathbb{R}^{q_0} \to \mathbb{R}^{q_1}, \qquad \boldsymbol{x} \mapsto \boldsymbol{z}(\boldsymbol{x}) = (z_1(\boldsymbol{x}), z_2(\boldsymbol{x}), \dots, z_{q_1}(\boldsymbol{x}))^\top.$$

Each component  $z_j(x)$  is a non-linear function of x

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto z_j(\mathbf{x}) = \phi\left(w_{j,0} + \sum_{l=1}^{q_0} w_{j,l} x_l\right) = \phi\left(w_{j,0} + \langle \mathbf{w}_j, \mathbf{x} \rangle\right), \qquad j = 1, \dots, q_1,$$

where  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is the activation function,  $w_{j,l} \in \mathbb{R}$  represent the network parameters and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the scalar product in  $\mathbb{R}^{q_0}$ .







#### **DEEP NEURAL NETWORKS**

In the case of d layers of size  $\boldsymbol{q} = \{\boldsymbol{q}_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq d} \in \mathbb{N}^d$ , the mapping reads:

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{z}^{(d:1)}(\mathbf{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left( \mathbf{z}^{(d)} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{z}^{(1)} \right) (\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{q_d},$$

where  $z^{(k)} : \mathbb{R}^{q_{k-1}} \to \mathbb{R}^{q_k}$ . In the case of univariate response, the output of the network is:

$$\mathbf{x} \ \mapsto \ \mu_W(\mathbf{x}) \ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ \Psi_W^{\mathsf{FFN}}(\mathbf{x}) \ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ g^{-1}\left(\mathbf{w}_0^{(d+1)} + \sum_{l=1}^{q_d} \mathbf{w}_l^{(d+1)} \mathbf{z}_l^{(d:1)}(\mathbf{x})\right),$$

 $g^{-1}(\cdot)$  is an inverse link function.





▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のへで

#### A MULTI-OUTPUT NEURAL NETWORK MODEL

Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_M\}$  be the set of maturities considered with  $|\mathcal{M}| = M$ . We denote as:

- $\mathbf{y}_{t+1}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^M$  the vector of the unknown yields related to the curve *i* at time t + 1;
- $Y_{t-L,t}^{(i)} = (y_{t-l}^{(i)}(\tau))_{0 \le l \le L, \tau \in \mathcal{M}} \in \mathbb{R}^{(L+1) \times M}$  the matrix of the yield rates for all maturities on the L + 1 past dates.

We desire to learn the mapping

$$f: \mathbb{R}^{(L+1) \times M} \times \mathcal{I} \to \mathbb{R}^{M} \times \mathbb{R}^{M} \times \mathbb{R}^{M} \left( \mathbf{Y}_{t-\tau,t}^{(i)}, i \right) \mapsto \left( \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{lb,t+1}^{(i)}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t+1}^{(i)}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{ub,t+1}^{(i)} \right) = f\left( \mathbf{Y}_{t-L,t}^{(i)}, i \right).$$

where, choosen a confidence level  $\alpha \in [0,1],$  we denote as

- $\widehat{\mathbf{y}}_{\textit{lb},t+1}^{(i)}$  the estimate of the lower quantile at level  $\alpha/2$ ;
- $\widehat{\mathbf{y}}_{t+1}^{(i)}$  the estimate expected value or the median;
- $\widehat{\mathbf{y}}_{ub,t+1}^{(i)}$  the estimate of the upper quantile at level  $1 \alpha/2$ .







#### NEURAL NETWORK MODEL ARCHITECTURE

We use a NN architecture that combines Embedding layers and some NN layers specifically designed for processing sequential data



Figure: Graphical representation of the neural network architecture.

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬぐ





The predictions are derived as:

$$\mathbf{y}_{t+1}^{(i)} = \phi \left( \mathbf{b}_{c} + U_{c} \mathbf{e}^{(i)} + W_{c} \mathbf{z}_{t}^{(i)} \right)$$
$$\mathbf{y}_{lb,t+1}^{(i)} = \mathbf{y}_{t+1}^{(i)} - \phi_{+} \left( \mathbf{b}_{lb} + U_{lb} \mathbf{e}^{(i)} + W_{lb} \mathbf{z}_{t}^{(i)} \right)$$
$$\mathbf{y}_{ub,t+1}^{(i)} = \mathbf{y}_{t+1}^{(i)} + \phi_{+} \left( \mathbf{b}_{ub} + U_{ub} \mathbf{e}^{(i)} + W_{ub} \mathbf{z}_{t}^{(i)} \right)$$

where  $\phi_+$ :  $\mathbb{R} \to (0, +\infty)$ , and  $\mathbf{b}_j, U_j, W_j, j \in \{c, lb, ub\}$  are network parameters.

This formulation ensures no-quantile crossing:

$$\mathbf{y}_{lb,t+1}^{(i)} < \mathbf{y}_{t+1}^{(i)} < \mathbf{y}_{ub,t+1}^{(i)}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ●



#### SOME REMARKS

(1) The model presents some connections with the affine models:

$$\phi^{-1}\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{t+1}^{(i)}(\tau)\right) = \boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{c},\tau} + \left\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{c},\tau}, \boldsymbol{e}^{(i)} \right\rangle + \left\langle \boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{c},\tau}, \boldsymbol{z}_{t}^{(i)} \right\rangle.$$

Indeed, it has the constant-plus-linear structure and depends on the vector of variables  $\mathbf{z}_t^{(i)}$  derived by the past observed data.

(2) We can also reformulate the equations of the quantile predictions:

$$\phi_{+}^{-1}\left(\widehat{y}_{l+1}^{(i)}(\tau) - \widehat{y}_{lb,t+1}^{(i)}(\tau)\right) = b_{lb,\tau} + \left\langle \mathbf{u}_{lb,\tau}, \mathbf{e}^{(i)} \right\rangle + \left\langle \mathbf{w}_{lb,\tau}, \mathbf{z}_{t}^{(i)} \right\rangle$$

emphasizing that we model, on the  $\phi^{(-1)}$  scale, the difference between the central measure and lower quantile at a given maturity  $\tau$  is an affine model.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・



#### **MODEL CALIBRATION**

The network training requires to minimize the loss:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\gamma}(\mathcal{W}) &= \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\gamma}^{(1)}(\mathcal{W}) + \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\gamma}^{(2)}(\mathcal{W}) + \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\gamma}^{(3)}(\mathcal{W}) \\ &= \sum_{i,t,\tau} \ell_{\alpha/2}(\dot{y}_t^{(i)}(\tau) - \hat{y}_{lb,t}^{(i)}(\tau)) + \sum_{i,t,\tau} h_{\gamma}(\dot{y}_t^{(i)}(\tau) - \hat{y}_t^{(i)}(\tau)) + \\ &\sum_{i,t,\tau} \ell_{1-\alpha/2}(\dot{y}_t^{(i)}(\tau) - \hat{y}_{ub,t}^{(i)}(\tau)) \end{split}$$

where  $\ell_{\alpha}(u), \alpha \in (0, 1)$  is the pinball function:

$$\ell_{\alpha}(u) = \begin{cases} (1-\alpha)|u| & u \leq 0\\ \alpha|u| & u > 0, \end{cases}$$

and  $h_{\gamma}(\mathbf{u}), \gamma \in \{1,2\}$  is:

$$h_{\gamma}(u) = \begin{cases} |u| & \gamma = 1\\ u^2 & \gamma = 2, \end{cases}$$





▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへで



#### NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS: EIOPA DATA

- European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority Data
  - Maturities:  $\mathcal{M} = \{ \tau \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \le \tau \le 150 \}$
  - Period: Dec 2015 Dec 2021.
  - $\blacksquare~34$  curves related to the government bonds.
- Data Partitioning
  - Learning sample: Dec 2015 Dec 2020;
  - Test sample: Jan 2021 Dec 2021.
- NN architectures based on:
  - Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks (YC\_LSTM);
  - 1D Convolutional Neural networks (YC\_CONV);
  - Self-Attention based networks (YC\_ATT);
  - Transformers models (YC\_TRAS).
- Benchmark models:
  - Dynamic Nelson-Siegel (NS);
  - Dynamic Nelson-Siegel-Svensson (NSS).
- Interval predictions at confidence level  $\alpha=0.95.$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00



#### **PERFORMANCE MEASURES**

We compare the models in terms of:

$$\begin{split} MSE &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{M}} (y_t^{(i)}(\tau) - \hat{y}_t^{(i)}(\tau))^2, \\ MAE &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{M}} |y_t^{(i)}(\tau) - \hat{y}_t^{(i)}(\tau)|, \\ PICP &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{M}} \mathbb{1}_{\{y_t^{(i)}(\tau) \in [\hat{y}_{t,lb}^{(i)}(\tau), \, \hat{y}_{t,ub}^{(i)}(\tau)]\}} \\ MPIW &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{M}} \left( \hat{y}_{t,ub}^{(i)}(\tau) - \hat{y}_{t,lb}^{(i)}(\tau) \right). \end{split}$$





(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(()



#### **EIOPA DATA**



Figure: Yield Curve data provided by EIOPA.





(日)・4回)・4回)・4回) ゆくの

### **DYNAMIC NELSON-SIEGEL MODEL**



Figure: Dynamic Nelson-Siegel Model.







#### FORECASTING RESULTS

	MSE		MAE		PICP		MPIW	
Model	average	ensemble	average	ensemble	average	ensemble	average	ensemble
$YC_ATT_{\gamma=1}$	0.2947	0.2887	0.2667	0.2616	0.9154	0.9191	0.0106	0.0106
$YC_ATT_{\gamma=2}$	0.3663	0.3638	0.3463	0.3451	0.8528	0.8573	0.0105	0.0105
$YC_CONV_{\gamma=1}$	0.3778	0.3642	0.2975	0.2850	0.9035	0.9235	0.0115	0.115
YC_CONV <sub>7=2</sub>	0.4258	0.4244	0.3890	0.3884	0.8509	0.8530	0.0110	0.0110
$YC_LSTM_{\gamma=1}$	0.4272	0.4111	0.3164	0.2970	0.7757	0.8147	0.0093	0.0093
$YC_LSTM_{\gamma=2}$	0.3898	0.3697	0.3352	0.3198	0.6911	0.7081	0.0084	0.0084
$YC_TRANS_{\gamma=1}$	0.4308	0.4167	0.3313	0.3168	0.8371	0.8645	0.0113	0.0113
$YC_TRANS_{\gamma=2}$	0.4232	0.4124	0.4042	0.3987	0.5771	0.5760	0.0091	0.0091
NS_AR	0.7433		0.4496		0.9984		0.0540	
NS_VAR	0.4977		0.3492		0.7288		0.0080	
NSS_AR	0.5379		0.3709		0.9987		0.4253	
NSS_VAR	0.4626		0.3226		0.7462		0.0307	

Figure: Out-of-sample performance of the different deep learning models in terms of MSE, MAE, PICP and MPIW; the MSE values are scaled by a factor of  $10^5$ , while the MAE values are scaled by a factor of  $10^2$ . Bold indicates the smallest value, or, for the PICP, the value closest to  $\alpha=0.95.$ 



São Paulo 2025

#### FORECASTING RESULTS: UNCERTAINTY



Figure: Interval predictions for  $\alpha=0.95$  related to the different yield curves.



・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

3

#### FORECASTING RESULTS



Figure: MSE, MAE, and PICP obtained by the YC\_ATT and NSS\_VAR models in the different countries.





・ロト・西ト・ヨト ・日・ うへの

# CORRELATION BETWEEN THE NSS FACTORS AND THE 4 PCS EXTRACTED FROM $(e^{(i)}, z_t^{(i)})$



Figure: Linear correlation coefficients (in absolute value) of the four PCs derived from the learned features, represented as  $(e^{(i)}, z_t^{(i)})$ , with respect to the  $\beta_t^{(i)}$  factors of the NSS model for the different yield curve families.





Thank you! Obrigado!

#### **Questions?**

#### Contacts:

-ron@insureai.co -salvatore.scognamiglio@uniparthenope.it





